UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/535,673	05/12/2006	Pierre Fagard	4590-402 7671		
	7590 09/09/200 MAN HAM & BERN	EXAMINER			
1700 DIAGON.	AL ROAD, SUITE 30	CHOW, YUK			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/535,673	FAGARD, PIERRE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YUK CHOW	2629		

Y	/UK CHOW	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following rej application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n. .ED WITHIN TWC
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ision and the corresponding amount o ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	ideration and/or search (see NOT ;	E below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a cor	rresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	See attached Notice of Non Cor	mnliant Amandment (I	DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (i	10L-32+).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s). 	vable if submitted in a separate, t		_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	I and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	itry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but d For the same reasons set forth in the last Office Action. 	loes NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P			
13. Other: Applicant's argument regarding "a layer of material edition are the interruption of "plactre antical proportion" defined by		es" is not persuasive,	<u>examiner</u>
disagrees the interruption of "electro-optical properties" defined by /Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	<u>, арріїсант.</u>		